Elbow lameness in dogs of six years and older

Arthroscopic and imaging findings of medial coronoid disease in 51 dogs
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Summary
Objectives: To report on the frequency and distribution of lesions of the medial coronoid process in dogs of six years of age and older, and to describe the arthroscopic findings in the affected joints. To compare those lesions seen in 'old' dogs with those seen in 'young' dogs between the ages of five and 18 months. Methods: A retrospective study of dogs six-years-old and older admitted for elbow lameness and subsequent elbow arthroscopy. The dogs were divided into groups according to the lesions of the medial coronoid process diagnosed during arthroscopic examination and computed tomography (CT). The radiographic degree of osteoarthritis (OA) and arthroscopically diagnosed lesions on the medial humeral condyle are described.

Results: In 51 'old' dogs, five types of lesions could be identified on arthroscopy and CT: chondromalacia-like lesions (2%), fissures (27.5%), non-displaced fragments (12%), displaced fragments (27.5%), and erosions within the medial compartment without fragmentation (31%). A significantly different distribution of lesions was seen in 'young' dogs: fissures (23%), non-displaced fragments (45%), displaced fragments (29%), and erosions within the medial compartment without fragmentation (3%). No difference in radiographic degree of OA was seen between the two groups.

Clinical significance: This study demonstrates the relatively high incidence of medial coronoid disease in dogs older than six years of age, and it highlights one particular problem in 'old' dogs: the complete erosion of the medial compartment.

Introduction

Elbow dysplasia (ED) is a hereditary developmental problem commonly diagnosed in young large-breed dogs, such as the Bernese Mountain dog, Labrador and Golden Retriever, Rottweiler, and German Shep-
CT are complimentary techniques, both of which are necessary for complete assessment of the medial coronoid process (25). An increasing number of ‘old’ dogs with medial coronoid disease are being presented at our institution. None of them however were treated for elbow problems at a younger age. In order to evaluate the lesions that cause elbow lameness in ‘old’ dogs, we studied elbow lesions in dogs six years of age or older. A combination of arthroscopy and CT was used to accurately describe the lesion. The radiographic grading of OA, and the lesions of the medial humeral condyle observed using arthroscopy, were described. The pathological findings in the region of the medial coronoid process and their distribution are compared with lesions diagnosed in ‘young’ dogs.

Material and methods

Dogs

In this retrospective study, the medical records of dogs that were presented at the University of Ghent, Department of Medical Imaging and Small Animal Orthopaedics, from 2003 to 2007 with the complaint of elbow lameness were collected. Two groups of dogs were selected: the ‘old’ dogs were six years or older, and the ‘young’ dogs were between five- and 18-months-old. Inclusion criteria for both groups were: 1) presenting complaint of elbow lameness caused by medial coronoid disease and subsequent arthroscopic evaluation; 2) complete information on signalment, history, clinical and orthopaedic examination; 3) a complete set of diagnostic quality radiographs; 4) diagnostic quality CT scans; and 5) video images of the arthroscopic diagnosis and treatment. Cases with incomplete medical records or any elbow pathology other than medial coronoid disease were excluded. The presence of OCD or elbow incongruity concurrently with medial coronoid disease was allowed. Dogs that had a previous elbow arthrotomy or arthroscopy were excluded. Data collected from the medical records included: signalment, age at admission, diagnostic procedures performed, duration of lameness and time from initial clinical signs to surgery.

Diagnosis

Radiographs included three projections (a flexed mediolateral, neutral mediolateral and a craniocaudal [15° pronation]). They were scored for OA using the International Elbow Working Group (IEWG) guidelines (26).

Every dog included in this study had a CT examination of both elbows prior to arthroscopy. Computed tomography images were performed with a single row detector spiral CT. All dogs were positioned in left lateral recumbence with the front legs parallel and extended cranially. The head of the dog was pulled back with the neck flexed, to avoid interference. Both elbows were scanned at the same time (18). Contiguous slices, each 1 mm in thickness, were obtained at the level of the radioulnar joint. Digital images were viewed on a workstation with E film viewer. The shape and possible fragmentation of the medial coronoid process were evaluated. Computed tomography was solely used to increase the accuracy of the diagnosis and to detect bilateral lesions.

Arthroscopy was performed with a 2.4 or 1.9 mm, 25° fore-oblique arthroscope using a standard medial approach (24). Digital still and video images of the arthroscopic procedure in all elbows were taken. Radiographs were evaluated by a Diplomate of the European College of Veterinary Diagnostic Imaging (HvB) and CT -scans were reviewed by a staff radiologist specialised in CT and magnetic resonance imaging (IG). Arthoscopic images were evaluated by a staff surgeon specialised in arthroscopy (BVR).

Arthroscopy was used to assess the cartilage, and CT added information on the subchondral bone. The combination of arthroscopy and CT was considered the gold standard to correctly characterise the medial coronoid process lesions. Medial coronoid disease was identified and classified in five groups: chondromalacia-like lesions, fissures, non-displaced fragments, displaced fragments, and medial compartment erosions (Table 1) (7). Cartilage lesions of the medial humeral condyle were scored according to the modified Outerbridge classification system (Table 2) and the presence of OCD lesions was recorded. The lateral coronoid process and lateral

Table 1 Description of the arthroscopic and computed tomographic-findings in five groups of lesions of the medial coronoid process. * see Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Arthroscopic findings: medial coronoid process</th>
<th>Computed tomography findings: medial coronoid process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chondromalacia-like lesions</td>
<td>Irregular, soft or fibrillated cartilage</td>
<td>No subchondral fissure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissure</td>
<td>Cartilage fissure or irregular, soft or fibrillated cartilage</td>
<td>Non-displaced fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-displaced fragment</td>
<td>Complete fissure</td>
<td>Non-displaced fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced fragment</td>
<td>Fragment relocated</td>
<td>Fragment cranially displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial compartment erosions</td>
<td>Erosions of medial coronoid process</td>
<td>No fragmentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the arthroscopic and computed tomographic-findings in five groups of lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fissure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No subchondral fissure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete fissure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No mobile fragment when probing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragment located at its original position and mobile when probing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragment cranially displaced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosions of medial coronoid process (Outerbridge grade 4*).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fragmentation, except cartilaginous mini-fragments smaller than 2 mm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fragmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No subchondral fissure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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humeral condyle were evaluated for cartilage damage as well.

In order to describe and compare the lesions that caused elbow lameness, the study was limited to the lame side in both age groups. In case of bilateral lameness the most affected joint was included.

**Postoperative care**

An intramuscular injection of a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug and an intra-articular injection of bupivacain were given at the end of the procedure. A light-pressure bandage was applied on the elbow. Dogs were released on the same day as the arthroscopic treatment. All dogs were treated with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug for three weeks postoperatively. During this period the dogs were walked slowly on the leash. After six weeks, a follow-up examination was carried out.

**Statistical analysis**

Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the occurrence of the different arthroscopic diagnoses in ‘old’ dogs versus ‘young’ dogs. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare OA, and cartilage erosion of the medial humeral condyle between the ‘old’ and ‘young’ dogs, within the category of arthroscopic diagnosis. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ($\rho$) was calculated to describe the correlation between the radiographic degree of OA and the degree of cartilage erosions. The occurrence of unilateral versus bilateral lesions was assessed with Fisher’s exact test using a significance level of 0.05.

**Results**

**Dogs**

In the period of 2003 to 2007, 660 dogs were presented for first-time arthroscopic treatment of medial coronoid disease: 49% were 18 months of age or younger ($n = 324$); 39% were between 18-months and six-years-old; and 12% ($77$ of the $660$-dogs) were six years or older (referred to as ‘old’ dogs in this study). Of these 77 ‘old’ dogs, data of the complete protocol (radiographs, CT and arthroscopic images) were available in $51$ cases. As a reference group, we randomly selected $31$ dogs with complete protocols out of the ‘young’ dogs aged between five and 18 months.

The male: female ratio was 1.5:1 in the ‘old’ dogs and 3.4:1 in the ‘young’ dogs. Fig. 1 shows the breed distribution of the ‘old’ and ‘young’ dogs presented for arthroscopic treatment of medial coronoid disease between 2003–2007.

Duration of lameness in the ‘old’ dogs was variable: in 16% ($n = 8$) lameness was present since puppyhood, in 31% ($n = 16$) for a few years (1-5 years), in 45% ($n = 23$) for a few months (2-4 months), in 6% ($n = 3$) for a few weeks (2-4 weeks), and in 2% ($n = 1$) duration of lameness was not recorded.

Bilateral lesions were seen on CT in 69% of the ‘old’ dogs (35 dogs), versus 83% of the ‘young’ dogs (26 dogs) ($p = 0.17$).

**Radiographic findings**

Radiographic OA is listed in Tables 3 and 4. The difference between ‘young’ and ‘old’
dogs in the degree of OA was not significant (p = 0.40) (Table 3). Only two (6.4%) ‘young’ dogs did not have any OA, however this was not significantly different from the percentage of ‘old’ dogs without OA (p = 0.0915). In both groups, there was a significant correlation between the degree of OA and the degree of cartilage erosions in the medial compartment (\( \rho = 0.67; p < 0.01 \)) (Table 5). Individual exceptions, however, were seen. Ten ‘old’ dogs (19.6%) did not have any OA; six of them belonged to the fissure group, although there was at least one case without OA in each group of lesions, with the exception of the chondromalacia-like lesions group (Table 4).

### Computed tomography findings

Computed tomography provided information on the subchondral bone, which can’t be seen during arthroscopy (Table 1) (25). In four of the 82 cases (5%), arthroscopy revealed an Outerbridge grade 1 lesion, while a fracture line in the subchondral bone was clearly identified on CT (Fig 2). In those cases, the lesion was classified as a fissure. In case of a displaced fragment, CT helped to identify the size, position and number of fragments. Fragmentation or fissuring of the medial coronoid process was never seen on CT in the cases classified as medial compartment erosions.

### Arthroscopic findings

Five groups of lesions were identified based on the arthroscopic appearance, combined with the CT findings. The distribution of these lesions in ‘old’ and ‘young’ dogs is reported in Table 3. The distribution of the lesions was significantly different between the two age classes (p = 0.0008).

Medial compartment erosions were almost exclusively seen in ‘old’ dogs (31%) versus (3%) ‘young’ dogs. We defined medial compartment erosions as deep ulcerations of the medial part of the joint with exposure of the subchondral bone (Outerbridge classification degree 4), in the absence of fragmentation or fissure formation of the subchondral bone (Fig 3). Articular cartilage of the entire medial humeral condyle was uniformly eroded to the subchondral bone, but the typical wear and tear furrows associated with the displaced fragment cases were not observed. In two cases a very small cartilage fragment (<2 mm), sandwiched between the radius and ulna, was detected arthroscopically. In two cases (1 ‘old’ dog and 1 ‘young’), the erosions were restricted to a more limited part of the medial compartment. In all cases of medial compartment erosions, the cartilage of the lateral humeral condyle was grossly normal.

Table 5 documents the scores of cartilage lesions of the medial humeral condyle, according to the modified Outerbridge classification, in ‘old’ dogs versus ‘young’ dogs, in the presence of chondromalacia-like lesions, fissures, displaced- and non-displaced fragments and medial compartment erosions. Kissing lesions (modified Outerbridge degree 4–5) were most frequently seen in the displaced fragment group. In this group significantly more (p = 0.01) kissing lesions were seen in ‘old’ dogs, and they were also more severe; there were multiple severe wear and tear furrows, surrounded by more superficial erosions, extending over the whole medial aspect of the humeral condyle (Fig 4). In ‘young’ dogs, displaced fragments were accompanied by more limited kissing lesions (grade 0–4). Concurrent OCD lesions were seen in 16% of the ‘young’ dogs, in which case an Outerbridge scoring of the medial humeral condyle was not performed. No OCD flaps were seen in the ‘old’ dogs (Table 5).
Discussion

This study describes medial coronoid disease in ‘old’ dogs and compares the findings with lesions in ‘young’ dogs. The age of the ‘young’ dogs was chosen based on the etiopathogenesis of FCP as a developmental disease in skeletally immature dogs, and the recommended age for elbow screening given by the IEWG (2, 26–28). The age of the ‘old’ dogs was chosen arbitrarily, and set as high as possible in order to make a clear difference between the findings in ‘young’ dogs, typically presented for FCP, and the findings in ‘old’ dogs, for which FCP diagnosis is more unexpected, while still allowing for a sufficient number of cases (29).

Fig. 3  Medial compartment erosions in an ‘old’ dog. Left side: Arthroscopic image: Outerbridge grade 4 erosions on the medial humeral condyle (black arrow) and medial coronoid process (black arrowhead). There is no fragmentation. Right side: Accompanying CT image confirms absence of a coronoid fragment and shows a sclerotic medial coronoid process (*). There is a small osteophyte on top of the medial coronoid process (white arrow), a large osteophyte attaches to the medial side of the medial coronoid process (white arrowhead).

Table 4  Arthroscopic findings in elbows with varying radiographic signs of osteoarthritis and classified according to the international elbow working group (IEWG) gradation in ‘young’ and ‘old’ dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of osteoarthritis by IEWG</th>
<th>Chondromalacia-like lesions</th>
<th>Fissure</th>
<th>Non-displaced fragment</th>
<th>Displaced fragment</th>
<th>Medial compartment erosions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young dog</td>
<td>Old dog</td>
<td>Young dog</td>
<td>Old dog</td>
<td>Young dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: IEWG - International elbow working group grading scale.

Table 5  The scores of cartilage lesions of the medial part of the humeral condyle according to the modified Outerbridge classification related to the five groups of medial coronoid lesions in ‘young’ and ‘old’ dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cartilage erosions medial humeral condyle</th>
<th>Chondromalacia-like lesions</th>
<th>Fissure</th>
<th>Non-displaced fragment</th>
<th>Displaced fragment</th>
<th>Medial compartment syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young dog</td>
<td>Old dog</td>
<td>Young dog</td>
<td>Old dog</td>
<td>Young dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteochondritis dissecans</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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All available ‘old’ dogs were taken into account, and the 31 randomly selected ‘young’ dogs were added to arrive at reasonable sample sizes to demonstrate clinically relevant differences.

Although FCP is commonly reported as a developmental disorder affecting young dogs, some reports mention medial coronoid disease in adult and older dogs (2–4, 10, 30, 31). The incidence of medial coronoid disease in ‘old’ dogs in our hospital was 12%, which emphasises the importance of this condition in the differential diagnosis.

However, a detailed description of the findings in adult and ‘old’ dogs has never been published, nor has a comparison been made with lesions found in ‘young’ dogs to illustrate the difference.

In general, the signalment of ‘old’ dogs affected with coronoid disease was similar to that of the ‘young’ dogs concerning bilateral lesions and breed distribution. However, there were also differences: the Bernese Mountain dog was not represented in the ‘old’ group, and mixed breeds were over-represented in the ‘old’ group. The Bernese Mountain dog often develops severe elbow changes with fragmentation and incongruity and may therefore show lameness in an earlier age (32). The high number of mixed breeds is unexpected since FCP is considered to be a hereditary disease.

Duration of lameness varied greatly in the ‘old’ dogs. However, lameness was rarely present since puppy hood, which suggest a later onset of the problem. Read et al mentioned that radiographic evidence of elbow dysplasia is often found without clinical signs (33). The ‘old’ dogs in our study could have had medial coronoid disease since puppy hood, but without any signs of apparent lameness. This can be supported for a number of dogs in our study by the fact that the medial aspect of the humeral condyle has more severe lesions in ‘old’ dogs (Table 5).

Except for medial compartment syndrome and chondromalacia-like lesions, all lesions were well represented in both age classes. Fissure and displaced fragments appeared equally in both groups, but in the ‘old’ dogs it was accompanied by a higher degree of cartilage erosions on the medial aspect of the humeral condyle. Although the original cause and time of the development of the disease could not be established exactly, the lesions in ‘old’ dogs seemed more severe, which may suggest that the lesion has been present for a longer time. On the other hand, some ‘old’ dogs had lesions that were similar to those in ‘young’ dogs without any secondary changes.

Medial compartment erosions were typical in ‘old’ dogs. The only case seen in a ‘young’ dog affected a more limited area of the medial compartment. Medial compartment erosions have been mentioned in other publications on dogs with FCP, but the incidence of medial compartment erosions in the absence of fragmentation has not been described previously (3, 4, 8, 9). In this study, the absence of a fragment or fissure in the medial coronoid process was assessed on CT and during arthroscopy. In two joints, a mini-fragment (smaller than 2 mm) of cartilage was visualised only at the time of arthroscopy. It is the authors’ conviction that these mini-fragments were not the cause of the extensive erosions that were observed, and therefore, these joints were not classified as non-displaced fragment cases.

Because medial compartment erosions showed an even wear of the cartilage without any evidence of defect, fissure or fragmentation of the medial coronoid process, the origin of this ‘syndrome’ cannot be explained by fragmentation of the medial coronoid process and thus cannot be classified as an old eroded FCP lesion. Most likely, the development of medial compartment erosions can be explained by a different progress in pathogenesis than the classical FCP lesions.

Medial compartment erosions may be caused by elbow incongruity (radio-ulnar step or humero-ulnar incongruence) (34). Grading incongruity in clinical cases is a diagnostic challenge and was beyond the aim of this study.

The low number of chondromalacia cases in this study compared to other reports in the literature is due to the design of this study: description of lesions causing...
elbow lameness (7, 35). In bilateral cases, the thoracic limb with clinical signs was assessed, excluding the less severe lesions among which chondromalacia lesions were well represented (unpublished data). Another reason for the low number of chondromalacia-like lesions can be explained by the definition used in this study: additional reason for the low number of chondromalacia-like lesions. Indeed it has been suggested that fragmentation is initiated in the subchondral bone, and progresses into the articular cartilage (36).

In the ‘old’ dogs, an OCD cartilage flap or focal defect in the articular cartilage and underlying subchondral bone could not be demonstrated, although it cannot be proven that a flap had never been present in an early stage. However, OCD of the elbow, in contrast with FCP, is known to produce clinical signs at a young age (37).

In previous years it has been stated that “if no osteophyte formations are visible radiographically in cases of elbow lameness in dogs over 2 years old, FCP is usually not considered or is even excluded” (38). In more recent literature this has been contradicted (13, 39). In this study, 19.6% of the ‘old’ dogs had no OA (IEWG grade 0). The absence of OA was most frequently (but not exclusively) seen in the fissure group. In most cases, CT showed filling of the complete medial compartment. The same findings, albeit with a different pattern, were seen in dogs with OA. Thus, the presence of a coronoid lesion in ‘old’ dogs, even when chronic, is not necessarily associated with severe OA.

In this study the combination of CT and arthroscopy was considered to be the gold standard for classification of the lesion. While arthroscopy is limited to the inspection of the joint surface and may provide limited view due to narrow joint space, CT was useful in the detection of subchondral bone fissures and confirmed the absence or presence of a fragment. In most cases, CT and arthroscopic assessment revealed a similar type of lesion. Arthroscopy did fail to demonstrate a fissure in four cases because the cartilage overlying the subchondral bone fissure appeared intact. The presence of large cracks in the trabecular bone without overt abnormalities in the overlying cartilage, has also been reported in a morphologic study of medial coronoid process (36).

As this was a retrospective study, it had limitations. The population studied was not selected randomly, but was instead selected based on complete files. Thus, these cases do not represent all cases of elbow disease presented at our institution during the study period. It was the purpose of this study to evaluate medial coronoid disease as a cause of lameness in ‘old’ dogs. At our institution, arthroscopic diagnosis and treatment is routinely performed on both elbows in ‘young’ dogs with bilateral lesions, even if only unilateral lameness is apparent. In contrast, in ‘old’ dogs, arthroscopic invasion is often restricted to the clinically affected joint. By comparing both elbows in young dogs, with the lame elbow in old dogs we felt that bias concerning the severity of lesions causing lameness would be introduced. This explains why the lesions of only one elbow were evaluated. One should keep in mind that these data illustrate the distribution of medial coronoid disease in ‘old’ dogs compared to ‘young’ dogs. The outcomes were not evaluated in terms of incidence of risk factors when comparing ‘old’ dogs to all dogs presented at our institution.

Conclusions

It can be concluded that medial coronoid disease is not confined exclusively to pathology in ‘young’ dogs. In ‘old’ dogs, medial coronoid disease is an important differential diagnosis for elbow lameness, with a relatively high incidence. A different distribution of the lesions was seen in the two age groups. Medial compartment erosions (modified Outerbridge grade 4) without macroscopic fragmentation of the medial coronoid process were frequently seen as a typical lesion in ‘old’ dogs. Further studies are necessary to determine the etiopathogenesis of these erosions and their treatment options.
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